

## Exhibit 300: Capital Asset Summary

### Part I: Summary Information And Justification (All Capital Assets)

#### Section A: Overview & Summary Information

**Date Investment First Submitted:** 2011-09-15  
**Date of Last Change to Activities:**  
**Investment Auto Submission Date:** 2012-02-28  
**Date of Last Investment Detail Update:** 2012-02-22  
**Date of Last Exhibit 300A Update:** 2012-03-15  
**Date of Last Revision:** 2012-07-20

**Agency:** 011 - Department of Justice      **Bureau:** 03 - General Administration

**Investment Part Code:** 01

**Investment Category:** 00 - Agency Investments

**1. Name of this Investment:** JMD Law Enforcement Wireless Communications (LEWC)

**2. Unique Investment Identifier (Ull):** 011-000003444

#### Section B: Investment Detail

- 1. Provide a brief summary of the investment, including a brief description of the related benefit to the mission delivery and management support areas, and the primary beneficiary(ies) of the investment. Include an explanation of any dependencies between this investment and other investments.**

LEWC provides funding for the operations and maintenance of legacy land mobile radio systems used by the Department's four major law enforcement agencies (ATF, DEA, FBI, USMs). LEWC also supports special projects that provide opportunities for interoperability with other Federal, state, and local law enforcement agencies. Within, the LEWC investment, funding is provided to support a central program management, known as the Wireless Management Office (WMO), which is authorized 35 FTE.

- 2. How does this investment close in part or in whole any identified performance gap in support of the mission delivery and management support areas? Include an assessment of the program impact if this investment isn't fully funded.**

The Department's legacy radio systems are obsolete and in many cases their life span is already way beyond the manufacturer's recommendation. As such, there are significant operational and security vulnerabilities for agencies that are actively engaged in counter-terrorism and law enforcement missions. Any failure to maintain or upgrade DOJ Component's antiquated radio communication systems represents an unnecessary risk to the safety of agents and sensitive law enforcement operations.

- 3. Provide a list of this investment's accomplishments in the prior year (PY), including**

**projects or useful components/project segments completed, new functionality added, or operational efficiency achieved.**

Even with the exponential number of challenges that plague the Department's law enforcement wireless communications (radio) systems, the Department has been able to minimize the officer safety risk that is inherent with operating and maintaining antiquated communication systems the Department considers this to be the biggest accomplishment of the LEWC investment. Additionally, efficient financial management has also enabled the program to not only operate and maintain existing systems, but also address critical, special projects as required to provide interoperable wireless communications across a variety of law enforcement elements. Projects include establishing the communications infrastructure for national security special events (e.g. the Super Bowl, Winter Olympics, national political conventions), implementing federal operability channels in major U.S. metropolitan areas, and responding to immediate and high-profile federal law enforcement communication needs.

**4. Provide a list of planned accomplishments for current year (CY) and budget year (BY).**

Planned accomplishments include continued operations and maintenance of the Department's antiquated wireless communications (radio) systems and where possible, funding for critical, special projects as required by national security events such as the upcoming political conventions.

**5. Provide the date of the Charter establishing the required Integrated Program Team (IPT) for this investment. An IPT must always include, but is not limited to: a qualified fully-dedicated IT program manager, a contract specialist, an information technology specialist, a security specialist and a business process owner before OMB will approve this program investment budget. IT Program Manager, Business Process Owner and Contract Specialist must be Government Employees.**

2010-01-29

## Section C: Summary of Funding (Budget Authority for Capital Assets)

1.

Table I.C.1 Summary of Funding

	PY-1 & Prior	PY 2011	CY 2012	BY 2013
Planning Costs:	\$0.0	\$0.0	\$0.0	\$0.0
DME (Excluding Planning) Costs:	\$0.0	\$0.0	\$0.0	\$0.0
DME (Including Planning) Govt. FTEs:	\$0.0	\$0.0	\$0.0	\$0.0
Sub-Total DME (Including Govt. FTE):	0	0	0	0
O & M Costs:	\$643.7	\$110.5	\$82.1	\$90.6
O & M Govt. FTEs:	\$25.5	\$3.5	\$4.9	\$5.4
Sub-Total O & M Costs (Including Govt. FTE):	\$669.2	\$114.0	\$87.0	\$96.0
Total Cost (Including Govt. FTE):	\$669.2	\$114.0	\$87.0	\$96.0
Total Govt. FTE costs:	\$25.5	\$3.5	\$4.9	\$5.4
# of FTE rep by costs:	54	21	35	35
Total change from prior year final President's Budget (\$)		\$14.1	\$-15.8	
Total change from prior year final President's Budget (%)		14.20%	-15.30%	

**2. If the funding levels have changed from the FY 2012 President's Budget request for PY or CY, briefly explain those changes:**

PY 2011 represents the enacted funding level. CY 2012 represents the President's Budget request amount.

## Section D: Acquisition/Contract Strategy (All Capital Assets)

Table I.D.1 Contracts and Acquisition Strategy

Contract Type	EVM Required	Contracting Agency ID	Procurement Instrument Identifier (PIID)	Indefinite Delivery Vehicle (IDV) Reference ID	IDV Agency ID	Solicitation ID	Ultimate Contract Value (\$M)	Type	PBSA ?	Effective Date	Actual or Expected End Date
Awarded	1501	<a href="#">DJJ09G1906</a>	DJDEA09C0007	1524							
Awarded	1501	DJFJFBI08276	DJFJFBI08276	1549							

**2. If earned value is not required or will not be a contract requirement for any of the contracts or task orders above, explain why:**  
 Contracts listed above are for the procurement of maintenance / repair parts.

Exhibit 300B: Performance Measurement Report

Section A: General Information

Date of Last Change to Activities:

Section B: Project Execution Data

Table II.B.1 Projects					
Project ID	Project Name	Project Description	Project Start Date	Project Completion Date	Project Lifecycle Cost (\$M)
NONE					

Activity Summary								
Roll-up of Information Provided in Lowest Level Child Activities								
Project ID	Name	Total Cost of Project Activities (\$M)	End Point Schedule Variance (in days)	End Point Schedule Variance (%)	Cost Variance (\$M )	Cost Variance (%)	Total Planned Cost (\$M)	Count of Activities
NONE								

Key Deliverables								
Project Name	Activity Name	Description	Planned Completion Date	Projected Completion Date	Actual Completion Date	Duration (in days)	Schedule Variance (in days )	Schedule Variance (%)
NONE								

## Section C: Operational Data

Table II.C.1 Performance Metrics

Metric Description	Unit of Measure	FEA Performance Measurement Category Mapping	Measurement Condition	Baseline	Target for PY	Actual for PY	Target for CY	Reporting Frequency
DOJ legacy systems circuit transition to Networx	Number of Circuits transitioned	Technology - Efficiency	Over target	535.000000	0.000000	0.000000	160.000000	Semi-Annual
Relocation of ISS equipment to secure, government space.	Percent complete of 18 month baseline	Process and Activities - Security and Privacy	Over target	18.000000	3.000000	3.000000	100.000000	Quarterly
DOJ LMR System Availability	Percent Available	Technology - Reliability and Availability	Over target	95.000000	95.000000	95.000000	95.000000	Monthly
DOJ LMR System Usage (# of push-to-talk events)	# of push-to-talk events per month	Customer Results - Service Coverage	Over target	1000000.000000	1000000.000000	1261591.000000	1000000.000000	Monthly
Availability of NCR "Help Desk" Operations	Hours available per month	Customer Results - Service Accessibility	Over target	160.000000	160.000000	160.000000	160.000000	Monthly