

Exhibit 300: Capital Asset Summary

Part I: Summary Information And Justification (All Capital Assets)

Section A: Overview & Summary Information

Date Investment First Submitted: 2009-06-30
Date of Last Change to Activities: 2012-06-25
Investment Auto Submission Date: 2012-02-28
Date of Last Investment Detail Update: 2012-06-25
Date of Last Exhibit 300A Update: 2012-06-25
Date of Last Revision: 2012-07-20

Agency: 011 - Department of Justice **Bureau:** 10 - Federal Bureau of Investigation

Investment Part Code: 01

Investment Category: 00 - Agency Investments

- 1. Name of this Investment:** FBI Guardian
- 2. Unique Investment Identifier (UII):** 011-000003373

Section B: Investment Detail

- 1. Provide a brief summary of the investment, including a brief description of the related benefit to the mission delivery and management support areas, and the primary beneficiary(ies) of the investment. Include an explanation of any dependencies between this investment and other investments.**

The Guardian program serves a mission to support the FBI's role in defending the United States and U. S. interests abroad from the threat of terrorism by receiving, assessing, disseminating and retaining threats, suspicious activity and events with a potential nexus to terrorism. It consists of two information systems: the classified version, Guardian, and the unclassified version, eGuardian. The Guardian system is used by all FBIHQ units, FBI Field Offices, Legal Attaches and Intelligence Community partners for Suspicious Activity Reporting (SAR). Launched in 2004 as a web-based and paperless counterterrorism incident management system, Guardian allows all terrorist threats and suspicious activities to be entered, assigned, managed and viewed instantaneously by all system users. The primary purpose of Guardian is to make threat and suspicious activity information available immediately to all system users at a point when threat mitigation through redeployment of resources is optimal. Based on the success of the system for threat trend analysis, the FBI has designated Guardian as the only information system to be used to document all official counterterrorism early stage investigations, known as Type 1 & Type 2 Assessments. The Guardian system is the primary FBI counterterrorism tool to ensure that no threat incident is left unaddressed. Currently, there are over 168,480 threat and suspicious activity incidents contained within Guardian. There are 24,195 registered accounts and 14,720 active users. The eGuardian system was developed as a result of The Intelligence Reform and Terrorism Prevention Act (IRTPA), other statutes, including Executive Order (EO) 13388, and the National Strategy for Combating Terrorism. Its purpose is to build strong and functional liaison

partnerships with state, local, tribal and other federal law enforcement agencies across the U.S. for threat incident reporting. This system provides participating partners with a SAR system, accessible via Law Enforcement Online (LEO). The two systems feature a one-way transfer of information from the unclassified eGuardian to the classified Guardian system. Both systems also communicate and transfer information to other government law enforcement and homeland security organizations through PM-ISE's National Sharing Initiative. The eGuardian system now contains 11,270 incidents with 2,946 registered accounts and 2,328 active users.

2. How does this investment close in part or in whole any identified performance gap in support of the mission delivery and management support areas? Include an assessment of the program impact if this investment isn't fully funded.

The Guardian Program (G/eG) fills a critical gap for terrorist threat assessment. The advent of global terror networks in the 21st Century spurred the creation of multiple suspicious activity reporting and threat information sharing systems operating among the intelligence community and law enforcement (LE) agencies. Although useful in closed environments, these systems were not web-enabled or paperless and were unavailable to LE officers in other state, local or tribal jurisdictions. Other linked systems that provide interagency, cross-jurisdictional operability have been slow to materialize. Terrorists routinely use jurisdictional boundaries to their advantage to escape prosecution. For this reason it is critical that information about possible terrorist activities be made available and shared to LE in all relevant jurisdictions through mature, proven systems. Here are a few examples illustrating how G/eG's homeland protection features and information sharing capabilities fill the agency's performance gap: 1) Since Guardian 2.0 came online in October 2006, approximately 1,265 Preliminary and/or Full Field FBI Investigations have been initiated based on initial Guardian incidents. In addition, hundreds of criminal investigations, including 994 bomb threats and other terrorist investigations have resulted from initial Guardian incidents. 2) In May 2007, the widely publicized Fort Dix Six investigation, in which potential terrorists conspired to kill U.S. servicemen at Fort Dix, NJ, was worked entirely as a Guardian Incident. This threat to military personnel at Fort Dix is just an example of a Guardian incident that resulted in an official, full investigation with subsequent conviction. Since 2009 Guardian has supported the Attorney General's Guidelines for Domestic Investigation Operations Guide (AGG DIOG) as the only FBI information system for documenting early counterterrorism investigations known as Type 1 & Type 2 FBI Assessments. G/eG are the only systems that accommodate the DIOG 2.0 to include recent, extensive revisions. No other system is available to provide this support. In 2007 the DoD announced they would terminate use of the TALON threat database and begin using eGuardian. The eGuardian system is now poised to become operational and deployed worldwide for DoD in 2012. The Guardian Program interfaces with the National Sharing Environment, uploads information using a NIEM standard and provides valuable intelligence to others.

3. Provide a list of this investment's accomplishments in the prior year (PY), including projects or useful components/project segments completed, new functionality added, or operational efficiency achieved.

1) Primary accomplishments included adaptation of the Guardian/eGuardian systems to comply with OIG 09-02 audit findings including development of a countdown alert system to close incidents within 30 days, development and implementation of a quarterly maintenance,

bug fix and patch schedule, and enhanced metrics for management reporting of incident and assessment resolution. 2) Full functionality was achieved with the electronic transfer of incidents between the two systems--eGuardian to Guardian and Guardian to eGuardian including redaction capability for classified incidents. 3) Guardian was modified with additional features to provide extended compliance with requirements of DIOG for FBI Type 1/Type 2 Assessments. 4) Email notification, custom search, save search and online help features were developed and implemented for eGuardian. 5) Designed , developed and implemented features to synchronize Guardian with the FBI's Next Generation Workspaces and Internet Explorer 7.

4. Provide a list of planned accomplishments for current year (CY) and budget year (BY).

Current Year : Complete the design, development, integration testing and documentation for Guardian 2.5. Provide a new platform for the application and refined user interface for more efficient data entry. Adapt existing software to meet new requirements from DIOG 2.0 to support Type 1 and Type 2 Assessments. Identify and document priority user requirements for product backlog for Guardian 2.5.1. Scope priority requirements for eGuardian 1.3.7 from product backlog. Complete the design, development, integration, testing, documentation and technical support for eGuardian 1.3.7. Provide refined data entry capabilities, attachment sharing and a geospatial capability will support incident sharing beyond the local, state and tribal environment. Identify and document priority user requirements for product backlog for eGuardian 1.3.8. Budget Year : Identify and scope priority requirements for Guardian 2.5.1 from product backlog. Complete the design, development, integration testing and documentation for Guardian 2.5.1. Extend capabilities of existing software to meet changing requirements from DIOG 2.0 to support Type 1 and Type 2 Assessments. Identify and document priority user requirements for product backlog for Guardian 2.5.2. Identify and scope priority requirements for eGuardian 1.3.8. Complete the design, development, integration, testing and documentation for eGuardian 1.3.8. Refine geospatial capability as required to meet requirements of DoD product expansion. Identify and document priority user requirements for product backlog for eGuardian 1.3.9.

5. Provide the date of the Charter establishing the required Integrated Program Team (IPT) for this investment. An IPT must always include, but is not limited to: a qualified fully-dedicated IT program manager, a contract specialist, an information technology specialist, a security specialist and a business process owner before OMB will approve this program investment budget. IT Program Manager, Business Process Owner and Contract Specialist must be Government Employees.

2011-07-22

Section C: Summary of Funding (Budget Authority for Capital Assets)

1.

Table I.C.1 Summary of Funding

	PY-1 & Prior	PY 2011	CY 2012	BY 2013
Planning Costs:	\$2.2	\$0.0	\$0.0	\$0.0
DME (Excluding Planning) Costs:	\$17.3	\$5.9	\$2.8	\$3.0
DME (Including Planning) Govt. FTEs:	\$3.6	\$0.1	\$0.1	\$0.1
Sub-Total DME (Including Govt. FTE):	\$23.1	\$6.0	\$2.9	\$3.1
O & M Costs:	\$9.6	\$1.7	\$6.9	\$6.5
O & M Govt. FTEs:	\$0.0	\$0.0	\$0.0	\$0.0
Sub-Total O & M Costs (Including Govt. FTE):	\$9.6	\$1.7	\$6.9	\$6.5
Total Cost (Including Govt. FTE):	\$32.7	\$7.7	\$9.8	\$9.6
Total Govt. FTE costs:	\$3.6	\$0.1	\$0.1	\$0.1
# of FTE rep by costs:	22	1	1	1
Total change from prior year final President's Budget (\$)		\$0.0	\$0.0	
Total change from prior year final President's Budget (%)		0.00%	0.00%	

2. If the funding levels have changed from the FY 2012 President's Budget request for PY or CY, briefly explain those changes:

No change noted.

Section D: Acquisition/Contract Strategy (All Capital Assets)

Table I.D.1 Contracts and Acquisition Strategy

Contract Type	EVM Required	Contracting Agency ID	Procurement Instrument Identifier (PIID)	Indefinite Delivery Vehicle (IDV) Reference ID	IDV Agency ID	Solicitation ID	Ultimate Contract Value (\$M)	Type	PBSA ?	Effective Date	Actual or Expected End Date
Awarded	1549	DJFA0G002028	GS35F0717N	4730							

2. If earned value is not required or will not be a contract requirement for any of the contracts or task orders above, explain why:

The Guardian Program is funded by an Applications Development and Maintenance (ADAM) O&M Task Order which has no contractual requirements for EVM. The ADaM contract is Firm Fixed Price IDIQ which means employing EVM to the Guardian Task Order would add costs which do not add value to an O&M contract.

Exhibit 300B: Performance Measurement Report

Section A: General Information

Date of Last Change to Activities: 2012-06-25

Section B: Project Execution Data

Table II.B.1 Projects

Project ID	Project Name	Project Description	Project Start Date	Project Completion Date	Project Lifecycle Cost (\$M)
A	Guardian 2.5	Enhancements to the Guardian application to ensure compliance with policy guidelines, maintain inter-operability with external systems, and provide user-requested features.			
B	Guardian 2.5.1	Enhancements to the Guardian application to ensure compliance with policy guidelines, maintain inter-operability with external systems, and provide user-requested features.			
C	Guardian 2.5.2	Enhancements to the Guardian application to ensure compliance with policy guidelines, maintain inter-operability with external systems, and provide user-requested features.			
D	eGuardian 1.3.7	Enhancements to the eGuardian application to ensure compliance with policy guidelines, maintain inter-operability with external systems, and provide user-requested features.			
E	eGuardian 1.3.8	Enhancements to the eGuardian application to ensure compliance with policy guidelines, maintain			

Table II.B.1 Projects

Project ID	Project Name	Project Description	Project Start Date	Project Completion Date	Project Lifecycle Cost (\$M)
		inter-operability with external systems, and provide user-requested features.			
F	eGuardian 1.3.9	Enhancements to the eGuardian application to ensure compliance with policy guidelines, maintain inter-operability with external systems, and provide user-requested features.			

Activity Summary

Roll-up of Information Provided in Lowest Level Child Activities

Project ID	Name	Total Cost of Project Activities (\$M)	End Point Schedule Variance (in days)	End Point Schedule Variance (%)	Cost Variance (\$M)	Cost Variance (%)	Total Planned Cost (\$M)	Count of Activities
A	Guardian 2.5							
B	Guardian 2.5.1							
C	Guardian 2.5.2							
D	eGuardian 1.3.7							
E	eGuardian 1.3.8							
F	eGuardian 1.3.9							

Key Deliverables

Project Name	Activity Name	Description	Planned Completion Date	Projected Completion Date	Actual Completion Date	Duration (in days)	Schedule Variance (in days)	Schedule Variance (%)
D	eG1.3.7 Planning	Identify and scope priority requirements from product backlog	2011-06-30	2011-06-30	2011-06-30	90	0	0.00%
D	eG1.3.7 Development	Testing and documentation updates for eGuardian (1.3.7) update	2011-08-31	2011-06-30	2011-06-30	121	62	51.24%
A	G2.5 Planning	Identify and scope priority requirements	2011-09-30	2011-09-30	2011-09-30	91	0	0.00%

Key Deliverables								
Project Name	Activity Name	Description	Planned Completion Date	Projected Completion Date	Actual Completion Date	Duration (in days)	Schedule Variance (in days)	Schedule Variance (%)
		from product backlog						
D	Testing/QA 4	Testing and documentation updates for eGuardian release (1.3.7)	2011-10-31	2011-10-31	2011-10-31	91	0	0.00%
A	G2.5 Development	Design, development, and implementation of Guardian (2.5) update	2011-11-30	2011-11-30	2011-11-30	121	0	0.00%
E	eG1.3.8 Planning	Identify and scope priority requirements from product backlog	2011-12-30	2011-12-30	2011-12-30	88	0	0.00%
A	Testing/QA 1	Testing and documentation updates for Guardian release (2.5)	2012-01-31	2012-01-31	2012-01-31	91	0	0.00%
E	eG1.3.8 Development	Testing and documentation updates for eGuardian (1.3.8) update	2012-02-29	2012-02-29	2012-02-29	120	0	0.00%
B	G2.5.1 Planning	Identify and scope priority requirements from product backlog	2012-03-30	2012-03-30	2012-03-30	88	0	0.00%
E	Testing/QA 5	Testing and documentation updates for eGuardian release (1.3.8)	2012-04-30	2012-04-30	2012-04-30	89	0	0.00%
B	G2.5.1 Development	Design, development, and implementation of Guardian (2.5.1) update	2012-05-31	2012-05-31	2012-05-31	120	0	0.00%
F	eG1.3.9 Planning	Identify and scope priority requirements from product backlog	2012-07-31	2012-07-31		120	-31	-25.83%
B	Testing/QA 2	Testing and documentation updates for Guardian release (2.5.1)	2012-07-31	2012-07-31		91	-31	-34.07%
F	eG1.3.9 Development	Testing and	2012-08-31	2012-08-31		122	0	0.00%

Key Deliverables

Project Name	Activity Name	Description	Planned Completion Date	Projected Completion Date	Actual Completion Date	Duration (in days)	Schedule Variance (in days)	Schedule Variance (%)
		documentation updates for eGuardian (1.3.9) update						

Section C: Operational Data

Table II.C.1 Performance Metrics

Metric Description	Unit of Measure	FEA Performance Measurement Category Mapping	Measurement Condition	Baseline	Target for PY	Actual for PY	Target for CY	Reporting Frequency
Increase the number of agencies/jurisdictions using eGuardian's automated web services system-to-system upload capability to share information by 1 each year.	Number of agencies/jurisdictions	Customer Results - Service Accessibility	Over target	1.000000	1.000000	1.000000	1.000000	Semi-Annual
Increase the number of agencies/jurisdictions by 3.	Number of agencies/jurisdictions	Customer Results - Service Coverage	Over target	1188.000000	1191.000000	1279.000000	1191.000000	Semi-Annual
Ensure 75% of incidents entered into eGuardian are approved by customers for sharing with the FBI and other LE agencies. Calculation is based on the percentage of SARs that are marked for sharing and transferred from eGuardian into the Guardian system.	Percentage of incidents entered into systems	Process and Activities - Productivity	Over target	0.990000	0.750000	0.950000	0.750000	Semi-Annual
Increase the number of SAR incidents that are available and shared across the Federal, State, Local, Tribal, and OGA LE community. Total is calculated by summing number of SARs entered on	The number of SAR incidents in systems	Mission and Business Results - Services for Citizens	Over target	12000.000000	12000.000000	22428.000000	12000.000000	Monthly

Table II.C.1 Performance Metrics

Metric Description	Unit of Measure	FEA Performance Measurement Category Mapping	Measurement Condition	Baseline	Target for PY	Actual for PY	Target for CY	Reporting Frequency
Guardian and number of SARs entered on eGuardian and transferred for sharing to Guardian.								
Ensure that the system is available to the end user community for 95% of the required operational hours.	Percentage of system availability	Technology - Reliability and Availability	Over target	95.000000	95.000000	97.000000	95.000000	Monthly
Ensure that 30% of total storage capacity is free and available for use.	Percentage of available storage	Technology - Information and Data	Over target	30.000000	30.000000	30.000000	30.000000	Quarterly